PUBLIC SCHOOLS OF NORTH CAROLINA



STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION William C. Harrison, Ed.D., Chairman

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION June St. Clair Atkinson, Ed.D., State Superintendent

WWW.NCPUBLICSCHOOLS.ORG

June 3, 2011

Dr. Allen Smith, Superintendent Edenton/Chowan County Schools P. O. Box 206 Edenton, NC 27932-0206

Dear Dr. Smith:

In order for Edenton-Chowan County to continue to receive Low Wealth Supplemental Funding and Small County Supplemental Funding, the county's current expense appropriation per student must be at least 95 percent of the average of the local current expense appropriations per student for the three prior fiscal years. Based on this formula, Edenton/Chowan County's local current expense appropriation per student for fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 was required to be at least \$1,423.18 per student. Our analysis indicates Edenton/Chowan County's local current expense appropriation for fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 was \$1,420.04 per student.

As a result, Edenton/Chowan County has been found to have used these funds to supplant local current expense funds and will not receive an allotment for Low Wealth Supplemental Funding or Small County Supplemental Funding in the 2011-12 fiscal year unless Edenton/Chowan County can show (1) that it has remedied the deficiency in funding, or (2) that extraordinary circumstances caused the county to supplant local current expense funds with funds allocated for Low Wealth Supplemental Funding. To satisfy condition one, for 2011-12 the County must appropriate \$7,632 to the public schools of Edenton/Chowan County to replace the deficiency in 2008-09 and also appropriate \$7,632 to the public schools of Edenton/Chowan County to restore the local current appropriation per student to the 95 percent average. To satisfy condition two, the county must document that an economic emergency caused the deficit in the local current expense appropriation.

Documentation and certification by the Edenton/Chowan County public schools of either the total additional county appropriation of \$15,264 or the economic emergency must be submitted to the Office of School Business before the 2011-12 Initial Allotments are issued. If supplanting is determined, Edenton/Chowan County will lose approximately \$1,870,884 for fiscal year 2011-12.

Please feel free to contact me at (919) 807-3553 if you have any questions.

Sincerely.

Jevie Schause

C: Mr. Philip Price Ms. Emma Berry



Public Schools of North Carolina

North Carolina Department of Public Instruction

Low Wealth Supplemental Funding Calculation of Effort Required Fiscal Year 2012-13

LEA#

210 Chowan County

Chowan County was eligible for funding in fiscal year 2011-12

County's 2010-11 Tax Rate: 0.6850

County's Weighted Sales Assessment Ratio: 0.8992

County's Effective Tax Rate: 0.616

State Average Effective Tax Rate: 0.577

Percent of State Average Effective Tax Rate: 106.76%

Your County's Effective Tax Rate is above the State Average Effective Tax Rate.

In FY 2012-13, your county will receive 100% of your calculated allotment if you are eligible for funding (prorated based on funds available).



Public Schools of North Carolina

North Carolina Department of Public Instruction

Low Wealth Supplemental Funding Calculation of Non-Supplant Requirement Fiscal Year 2012-2013

LEA#

210 Chowan County

Fiscal Year	Local Appropriation	<u>ADM</u>	Local Appropriation Per Student
2006-07	\$3,749,350	2,492	\$1,504.55
2007-08	\$3,953,068	2,561	\$1,543.56
2008-09	\$3,453,542	2,432	\$1,420.04
		of Appropriations per ADM: of Appropriations per ADM:	\$1,489.38 \$1,414.91

Current legislation requires that the State Board of Education make a finding that a county has used Low Wealth funds to supplant local current expense funds in the prior year or the year for which the most recent data are available if: (1) The current expense appropriation per student of the county for the current year is less than ninety-five percent (95%) of the average of the local current expense appropriations per student for the three prior fiscal years; and (2) The county cannot show (i) that it has remedied the deficiency in funding or (ii) that extraordinary circumstances caused the county to supplant local current expense funds with Low Wealth funds. In order to comply with this legislation, your county must have contributed to school's local current expense funds as outlined below.

Fiscal Year	Minimum Local	ADM	Local Appropriation Per
riscai i cai	Appropriation	<u>VDIAI</u>	Student
2009-10	\$3,374,560	2,385	\$1,414.91



Public Schools of North Carolina North Carolina Department of Public Instruction

Calculation of Minimum Required Local Appropriation Low Wealth Supplemental Funding

LEA#

Chowan County 210

(Enter Actual Local Appropriation and ADM in the green boxes)

(to calculate minimum required local appropriation)

(10 calculate IIII)	minum reduned	(10 carculate minimum required local appropriation)												
I ow Wealth		Actua	Actual Local Appropriation	ropria	ıtion			Minimum Required	Red	uired	Ω	ifference bet	Difference between Actual and Minimum	and Minimum
Supplemental Funding	Local Appropriation	Actual Local Appropriation	ADM	Appn	Local Appropriation Per ADM	Prior Three-Year Average	App Pe	Appropriation Per ADM	App	Total Appropriation	Appı Per	Appropriation Per ADM A	Total Appropriation	Supplanting?
FY 1999-00	FY 1997-98	\$2,212,232	2,534	s	873.02									
FY 2000-01	FY 1998-99	\$2,368,238	2,588	S	915.08									
FY 2001-02	FY 1999-00	\$2,629,725	2,582	9	1,018.48									
FY 2002-03	FY 2000-01	\$2,801,335	2,539	€	1,103.32	\$ 935.53	643	888.75	69	2,256,536	€9	214.57 \$	544,799	Non-Supplant
FY 2003-04	FY 2001-02	\$3,088,951	2,478	S	1,246.55	\$ 1,012.29	69	961.68	٠.	2,383,043	∽	284.87 \$	705,908	Non-Supplant
FY 2004-05	FY 2002-03	\$3,206,044	2,464	↔	1,301,15	\$ 1,122.78	⇔	1,066.64	€9	2,628,201	6 9	234.51 \$	577,843	Non-Supplant
FY 2005-06	FY 2003-04	\$3,353,846	2,512	S	1,335.13	\$ 1,217.01	∽	1,156.16	€9	2,904,274	∽	178.97	449,572	Non-Supplant
FY 2006-07	FY 2004-05	\$3,356,442	2,506	64	1,339.36	\$ 1,294.28	6 9	1,229.57	٠.	3,081,302	∽	109.79 \$	275,140	Non-Supplant
FY 2007-09*	FY 2005-06	\$3,515,514	2,431	S	1,446.12	\$ 1,325.21	€9	1,258.95	69	3,060,507	69	187.17 \$	455,007	Non-Supplant
FY 2009-10	FY 2006-07	\$3,749,350	2,492	69	1,504.55	\$ 1,373.54	∽	1,304.86	 €9	3,251,711	∽	\$ 69.661	497,639	Non-Supplant
FY 2010-11	FY 2007-08	\$3,953,068	2,561	6 9	1,543.56	\$ 1,430.01	69	1,358.51	€9	3,479,144	6/3	185.05 \$	473,924	Non-Supplant
FY 2011-12	FY 2008-09	\$3,453,542	2,432	69	1,420.04	\$ 1,498.08	∽	1,423.18	69	3,461,174	€9	(3.14) \$	(7,632)	Supplant
FY 2012-13	FY 2009-10		2,385	S	ı	\$ 1,489.38	69	1,414.91	69	3,374,560				
FY 2013-14	FY 2010-11		2,344	∽	ı	· ·	S	,	64	ŧ				
FY 2014-15	FY 2011-12		2,320	s S	1	· ₩	89	ŧ	∽					
FY 2015-16	FY 2012-13			S	1		€9	,	↔	ı				

Notes

(1) Actual Local Appropriation includes supplemental taxes.

(2) ADM includes cities and charter schools' ADM.
(3) Minimum required appropriation is 95% of prior three-year average of actual local appropriations.