



Public Schools of North Carolina
North Carolina Department of Public Instruction

Low Wealth Supplemental Funding
Calculation of Minimum Required Local Appropriation

LEA # 210
Chowan County

(Enter Actual Local Appropriation and ADM in the green boxes)
(to calculate minimum required local appropriation)

Low Wealth Supplemental Funding (1)	Actual Local Appropriation						Minimum Required		Difference between Actual and Minimum		
	Local Appropriation (2)	Actual Local Appropriation (3)	ADM (4)	Local Appropriation Per ADM (5)	Prior Three-Year Average (6)	Appropriation Per ADM (7)	Total Appropriation (8)	Appropriation Per ADM (9)	Total Appropriation (10)	Supplanting? (11)	
FY 1999-00	FY 1997-98	\$2,212,232	2,534	\$ 873.02							
FY 2000-01	FY 1998-99	\$2,368,238	2,588	\$ 915.08							
FY 2001-02	FY 1999-00	\$2,629,725	2,582	\$ 1,018.48							
FY 2002-03	FY 2000-01	\$2,801,335	2,539	\$ 1,103.32	935.53	\$ 888.75	\$ 2,256,536	\$ 214.57	\$ 544,799	Non-Supplant	
FY 2003-04	FY 2001-02	\$3,088,951	2,478	\$ 1,246.55	1,012.29	\$ 961.68	\$ 2,383,043	\$ 284.87	\$ 705,908	Non-Supplant	
FY 2004-05	FY 2002-03	\$3,206,044	2,464	\$ 1,301.15	1,122.78	\$ 1,066.64	\$ 2,628,201	\$ 234.51	\$ 577,843	Non-Supplant	
FY 2005-06	FY 2003-04	\$3,353,846	2,512	\$ 1,335.13	1,217.01	\$ 1,156.16	\$ 2,904,274	\$ 178.97	\$ 449,572	Non-Supplant	
FY 2006-07	FY 2004-05	\$3,356,442	2,506	\$ 1,339.36	1,294.28	\$ 1,229.57	\$ 3,081,302	\$ 109.79	\$ 275,140	Non-Supplant	
FY 2007-09*	FY 2005-06	\$3,515,514	2,431	\$ 1,446.12	1,325.21	\$ 1,258.95	\$ 3,060,507	\$ 187.17	\$ 455,007	Non-Supplant	
FY 2009-10	FY 2006-07	\$3,749,350	2,492	\$ 1,504.55	1,373.54	\$ 1,304.86	\$ 3,251,711	\$ 199.69	\$ 497,639	Non-Supplant	
FY 2010-11	FY 2007-08	\$3,953,068	2,561	\$ 1,543.56	1,430.01	\$ 1,358.51	\$ 3,479,144	\$ 185.05	\$ 473,924	Non-Supplant	
FY 2011-12	FY 2008-09	\$3,453,542	2,432	\$ 1,420.04	1,498.08	\$ 1,423.18	\$ 3,461,174	\$ (3.14)	\$ (7,632)	Supplant	
FY 2012-13	FY 2009-10	\$3,115,660	2,385	\$ 1,306.36	1,489.38	\$ 1,414.91	\$ 3,374,560	\$ (108.55)	\$ (258,900)	Supplant	
FY 2013-14	FY 2010-11	\$3,335,660	2,344	\$ 1,423.06	1,423.32	\$ 1,352.15	\$ 3,169,440	\$ 70.91	\$ 166,220	Non-Supplant	
FY 2014-15	FY 2011-12		2,320	#VALUE!	1,383.15	\$ 1,313.99	\$ 3,048,457	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	Non-Supplant	
FY 2015-16	FY 2012-13			#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	

Notes

- (1) Actual Local Appropriation includes supplemental taxes.
- (2) ADM includes cities and charter schools' ADM.
- (3) Minimum required appropriation is 95% of prior three-year average of actual local appropriations.



Public Schools of North Carolina
North Carolina Department of Public Instruction

Low Wealth Supplemental Funding
Calculation of Minimum Required Local Appropriation

LEA # **210**
Chowan County

(Enter Actual Local Appropriation and ADM in the green boxes)
 (to calculate minimum required local appropriation)

Low Wealth Supplemental Funding (1)	Actual Local Appropriation						Minimum Required		Difference between Actual and Minimum		
	Local Appropriation (2)	Actual Local Appropriation (3)	ADM (4)	Local Appropriation Per ADM (5)	Prior Three-Year Average (6)	Appropriation Per ADM (7)	Total Appropriation (8)	Appropriation Per ADM (9)	Total Appropriation (10)	Supplanting? (11)	
FY 1999-00	FY 1997-98	\$2,212,232	2,534	\$ 873.02							
FY 2000-01	FY 1998-99	\$2,368,238	2,588	\$ 915.08							
FY 2001-02	FY 1999-00	\$2,629,725	2,582	\$ 1,018.48							
FY 2002-03	FY 2000-01	\$2,801,335	2,539	\$ 1,103.32	935.53	\$ 888.75	\$ 2,256,536	\$ 214.57	\$ 544,799	Non-Supplant	
FY 2003-04	FY 2001-02	\$3,088,951	2,478	\$ 1,246.55	1,012.29	\$ 961.68	\$ 2,383,043	\$ 284.87	\$ 705,908	Non-Supplant	
FY 2004-05	FY 2002-03	\$3,206,044	2,464	\$ 1,301.15	1,122.78	\$ 1,066.64	\$ 2,628,201	\$ 234.51	\$ 577,843	Non-Supplant	
FY 2005-06	FY 2003-04	\$3,353,846	2,512	\$ 1,335.13	1,217.01	\$ 1,156.16	\$ 2,904,274	\$ 178.97	\$ 449,572	Non-Supplant	
FY 2006-07	FY 2004-05	\$3,356,442	2,506	\$ 1,339.36	1,294.28	\$ 1,229.57	\$ 3,081,302	\$ 109.79	\$ 275,140	Non-Supplant	
FY 2007-09*	FY 2005-06	\$3,515,514	2,431	\$ 1,446.12	1,325.21	\$ 1,258.95	\$ 3,060,507	\$ 187.17	\$ 455,007	Non-Supplant	
FY 2009-10	FY 2006-07	\$3,749,350	2,492	\$ 1,504.55	1,373.54	\$ 1,304.86	\$ 3,251,711	\$ 199.69	\$ 497,639	Non-Supplant	
FY 2010-11	FY 2007-08	\$3,953,068	2,561	\$ 1,543.56	1,430.01	\$ 1,358.51	\$ 3,479,144	\$ 185.05	\$ 473,924	Non-Supplant	
FY 2011-12	FY 2008-09	\$3,453,542	2,432	\$ 1,420.04	1,498.08	\$ 1,423.18	\$ 3,461,174	\$ (3.14)	\$ (7,632)	Supplant	
FY 2012-13	FY 2009-10	\$3,115,660	2,385	\$ 1,306.36	1,489.38	\$ 1,414.91	\$ 3,374,560	\$ (108.55)	\$ (258,900)	Supplant	
FY 2013-14	FY 2010-11	\$3,609,824	2,344	\$ 1,540.03	1,423.32	\$ 1,352.15	\$ 3,169,440	\$ 187.88	\$ 440,384	Non-Supplant	
FY 2014-15	FY 2011-12	\$3,311,496	2,320	\$ 1,427.37	1,422.14	\$ 1,351.03	\$ 3,134,390	\$ 76.34	\$ 177,106	Non-Supplant	
FY 2015-16	FY 2012-13			#VALUE!	1,424.59	\$ 1,353.36	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	

- Notes**
- (1) Actual Local Appropriation includes supplemental taxes.
 - (2) ADM includes cities and charter schools' ADM.
 - (3) Minimum required appropriation is 95% of prior three-year average of actual local appropriations.

B

SMALL COUNTY AND LOW WEALTH SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDS OPTIONS TO CORRECT VIOLATION OF NON-SUPPLANTING RULE

OPTIONS: (each option would require a correction of \$274,164.)

Scenario 1 (Meets \$250,000 request)	<table border="0"> <tr><td>2010-11 appropriation</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$3,335,660</td></tr> <tr><td>Increase net funding</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$ 250,000</td></tr> <tr><td>Correct both years</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$ 274,164</td></tr> <tr><td>2011-12 appropriation</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$3,311,496</td></tr> <tr><td><u>COST TO COUNTY</u></td><td style="text-align: right;"><u>\$ 250,000</u></td></tr> </table>	2010-11 appropriation	\$3,335,660	Increase net funding	\$ 250,000	Correct both years	\$ 274,164	2011-12 appropriation	\$3,311,496	<u>COST TO COUNTY</u>	<u>\$ 250,000</u>	<p>Would increase available funds by \$250,000 to \$3,585,660. Repay \$15,264 for FY 09 and \$258,900 for FY 10, thus reducing FY12 allocation to \$3,311,496. The repayments would go into the fund balance but the schools would increase fund balance appropriation to FY12 by the same amount to offset loss.</p>
2010-11 appropriation	\$3,335,660											
Increase net funding	\$ 250,000											
Correct both years	\$ 274,164											
2011-12 appropriation	\$3,311,496											
<u>COST TO COUNTY</u>	<u>\$ 250,000</u>											

Scenario 2 (Represents C.O. move plus 1 teacher)	<table border="0"> <tr><td>2010-11 appropriation</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$3,335,660</td></tr> <tr><td>Increase net funding</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$ 150,000</td></tr> <tr><td>Correct both years</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$ 274,164</td></tr> <tr><td>2011-12 appropriation</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$3,211,496</td></tr> <tr><td><u>COST TO COUNTY</u></td><td style="text-align: right;"><u>\$ 150,000</u></td></tr> </table>	2010-11 appropriation	\$3,335,660	Increase net funding	\$ 150,000	Correct both years	\$ 274,164	2011-12 appropriation	\$3,211,496	<u>COST TO COUNTY</u>	<u>\$ 150,000</u>	<p>Would increase available funds by \$150,000 to \$3,485,660. Repay \$15,264 for FY 09 and \$258,900 for FY 10, thus reducing FY12 allocation to \$3,211,496. The repayments would go into the fund balance but the schools would increase fund balance appropriation to FY12 by the same amount to offset loss.</p>
2010-11 appropriation	\$3,335,660											
Increase net funding	\$ 150,000											
Correct both years	\$ 274,164											
2011-12 appropriation	\$3,211,496											
<u>COST TO COUNTY</u>	<u>\$ 150,000</u>											

Scenario 3 (Plus \$88,728 for C.O. relocation)	<table border="0"> <tr><td>2010-11 appropriation</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$3,335,660</td></tr> <tr><td>Increase net funding</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$ 88,728</td></tr> <tr><td>Correct both years</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$ 274,164</td></tr> <tr><td>2011-12 appropriation</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$3,150,224</td></tr> <tr><td><u>COST TO COUNTY</u></td><td style="text-align: right;"><u>\$ 88,728</u></td></tr> </table>	2010-11 appropriation	\$3,335,660	Increase net funding	\$ 88,728	Correct both years	\$ 274,164	2011-12 appropriation	\$3,150,224	<u>COST TO COUNTY</u>	<u>\$ 88,728</u>	<p>Would increase available funds by \$88,728 to \$3,424,388. Repay \$15,264 for FY 09 and \$258,900 for FY 10, thus reducing FY12 allocation to \$3,150,224. The repayments would go into the fund balance but the schools would increase fund balance appropriation to FY12 by the same amount to offset loss. Will meet non-supplant but only by \$6.83 per student - if enrollment estimates are off by 12 students then will not meet the requirement.</p>
2010-11 appropriation	\$3,335,660											
Increase net funding	\$ 88,728											
Correct both years	\$ 274,164											
2011-12 appropriation	\$3,150,224											
<u>COST TO COUNTY</u>	<u>\$ 88,728</u>											

Scenario 4 (Lowest possible increase to meet rule)	<table border="0"> <tr><td>2010-11 appropriation</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$3,335,660</td></tr> <tr><td>Increase net funding</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$ 80,000</td></tr> <tr><td>Correct both years</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$ 274,164</td></tr> <tr><td>2011-12 appropriation</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$3,141,496</td></tr> <tr><td><u>COST TO COUNTY</u></td><td style="text-align: right;"><u>\$ 80,000</u></td></tr> </table>	2010-11 appropriation	\$3,335,660	Increase net funding	\$ 80,000	Correct both years	\$ 274,164	2011-12 appropriation	\$3,141,496	<u>COST TO COUNTY</u>	<u>\$ 80,000</u>	<p>Would increase available funds by \$80,000 to \$3,415,660. Repay \$15,264 for FY 09 and \$258,900 for FY 10, thus reducing FY12 allocation to \$3,141,496. The repayments would go into the fund balance but the schools would increase fund balance appropriation to FY12 by the same amount to offset loss. Will meet non-supplant but only by \$3.06 per student - if enrollment estimates are off by 6 students then will not meet the requirement.</p>
2010-11 appropriation	\$3,335,660											
Increase net funding	\$ 80,000											
Correct both years	\$ 274,164											
2011-12 appropriation	\$3,141,496											
<u>COST TO COUNTY</u>	<u>\$ 80,000</u>											